

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

Miguel A. Rivera, III,

Plaintiff,

– against –

Capital One Bank (USA), National
Association, and Trans Union, LLC,

Defendant(s).

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Miguel A. Rivera, III (hereinafter “Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, The Law Offices of Robert S. Gitmeid & Associates, PLLC, by way of Complaint against Defendants, Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. (“Capital One”), and Trans Union, LLC (“Trans Union”), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants’ violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. (the “FCRA”) and other claims related to unlawful credit reporting practices. The FCRA prohibits furnishers of credit information from falsely and inaccurately reporting consumers’ credit information to credit reporting agencies.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Miguel A. Rivera, III, is an adult citizen of Texas.
3. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c) of the FCRA.
4. Defendant Capital One does business throughout the country and in the State of Texas. Capital One is a “furnisher” of consumer credit information as that term is used in Section 1681s-2 of the FCRA.
5. Defendant TransUnion is a limited liability company, doing business throughout the country and in the state of Texas. TransUnion is a “consumer reporting agency” as defined in Section 1681a(f) of the FCRA. Trans Union is one of the largest CRAs in the world.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the rights and obligations of the parties in this action arise out of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, which provides that an action to enforce any liability created under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 may be brought in any appropriate United States District Court, without regard to the amount in controversy.
7. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Plaintiff's primary residence is within the state of Texas. Venue in this district is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in Texas.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Defendant Capital One issued an account ending in 6853 to Plaintiff. The account was routinely reported on Plaintiff's consumer credit report.
9. The consumer report at issue is a written communication of information concerning Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for credit to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA.
10. On or about September 18, 2019, Plaintiff and Capital One entered into a settlement agreement for the above referenced account. A redacted copy of the settlement agreement is attached hereto as **Exhibit A.**
11. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, Plaintiff was required to make a lump sum payment totaling \$1,159.11 to settle and close his Capital One credit account.
12. Plaintiff, via his debt settlement representative, timely made the requisite settlement payments. Redacted proof of this payment is attached hereto as **Exhibit B.**
13. However, nearly a year later, Plaintiff's Capital One account continued to be negatively reported.
14. In particular, on a requested credit report dated May 26, 2020, Plaintiff's Capital One account was reported with a status of "CHARGE OFF", a balance of \$2,093.00, and a past due balance of \$2,093.00. The relevant portion of Plaintiff's credit report is attached hereto as **Exhibit C.**
15. This trade line was inaccurately reported. As evidenced by the settlement agreement and proof of payments, the account was settled for less than full balance and must be reported as settled with a balance of \$0.00.
16. On or about June 17, 2020, Plaintiff, via his attorney at the time, notified Defendants of a dispute with completeness and/or accuracy of the reporting of Plaintiff's Capital One account. A redacted copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit D.**

17. Therefore, Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the derogatory information reported by Capital One to the Consumer Reporting Agencies via certified mail in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1681i of the FCRA.
18. In July 2020, Plaintiff requested updated credit reports for review. The tradeline for Plaintiff's Capital One account remained inaccurate, as Defendants failed to correct the inaccuracy. The relevant portion of the July 2020 credit report is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.
19. TransUnion did not notify Capital One of the dispute by Plaintiff in accordance with the FCRA, or alternatively, did notify Capital One and Capital One failed to properly investigate and delete the tradeline or properly update the tradeline on Plaintiff's credit reports.
20. If Capital One had performed a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's dispute, Plaintiff's Capital One account would have been updated to reflect a "settled" status with a balance of \$0.00.
21. Despite the fact that Capital One has promised through its subscriber agreements or contracts to accurately update accounts, Capital One has nonetheless willfully, maliciously, recklessly, wantonly, and/or negligently failed to follow this requirement as well as the requirements set forth under the FCRA, which has resulted in the intended consequences of this information remaining on Plaintiff's credit reports.
22. Defendants failed to properly maintain and failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit information and Plaintiff's credit report, concerning the account in question, thus violating the FCRA. These violations occurred before, during, and after the dispute process began with TransUnion.
23. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants were acting by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendants herein.
24. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendants, as well as that of their agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

25. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference all facts and allegations set forth above.
26. TransUnion is a "consumer reporting agency," as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
27. Capital One is an entity who, regularly and in the course of business, furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about its transactions or experiences with any consumer and therefore constitutes a "furnisher," as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.
28. Capital One is reporting inaccurate credit information concerning Plaintiff to one or more credit bureaus as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a of the FCRA.

29. Plaintiff notified Defendants of a dispute on the account's completeness and/or accuracy, as reported.
30. Capital One failed to complete an investigation of Plaintiff's written dispute and provide the results of an investigation to Plaintiff and the credit bureaus within the 30-day statutory period as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
31. Capital One failed to promptly modify the inaccurate information on Plaintiff's credit report in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
32. TransUnion failed to delete information found to be inaccurate, reinserted the information without following the FCRA, or failed to properly investigate Plaintiff's disputes.
33. TransUnion failed to maintain and failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit report, concerning the account in question, violating 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
34. As a result of the above violations of the FCRA, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in one or more of the following categories: lower credit score, denial of credit, embarrassment and emotional distress caused by the inability to obtain financing for everyday expenses, rejection of credit card application, higher interest rates on loan offers that would otherwise be affordable, and other damages that may be ascertained at a later date.
35. As a result of the above violations of the FCRA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, punitive damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that be entered against Defendants as follows:

1. That judgment be entered against Defendants for actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n or alternatively, 15 U.S.C. § 1681o;
2. That judgment be entered against Defendants for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
3. That the Court award costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n or alternatively, 15 U.S.C. § 1681o; and
4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action of all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted, this the 1st day of August, 2020.

MIGUEL A. RIVERA, III

/s/ Thomas Bellinder
Thomas Bellinder, Esquire (Bar No. 24098794)
The Law Offices of Robert S. Gitmeid &
Associates, PLLC

11 Broadway, Suite 960
New York, NY 10004
(866) 707-4595
Counsel for Plaintiff